Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/624,552	HIGUCHI, MITSUO
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Harry A. Grosso	3727
All Participants:	Status of Appli	cation: Allowed
(1) Harry A. Grosso.	(3)	
(2) Juan Carlos A. Marquez.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 7 June 2006	Time: <u>9 <i>AM</i></u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes If Yes, provide a brief description:] Applicant's representativej	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed:		
1-4	•	
Prior art documents discussed: Higuchi (U.S. Patent No. 6,669,040)		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING TH See Continuation Sheet	E GENERAL NATURE OF \	VHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sed did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief 	ation. The examiner will prove eparate record of the substa	ride a written summary of the substance nce of the interview, since the interview
	•	
·		•
·		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (A	Applicant/Applicant's Repres	entative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The amendment filed March 23, 2006 was discussed. Amended claims 1-4 were discussed. Agreement was reached to delete "to provide a bent and" from claim 1, line 10, claim 2, line 11, claim 3, line 12 and claim 4, line 12. Agreement was reached to delete "the bent, and" in claim 1, line 13, claim 2, line 14, claim 3, line 15. In claim 4, line 15 "the bent," will be deleted. In claim 1, line 14, claim 2, line 15, claim 3, line 17 and claim 4, line 17 "a" will be changed to "an". In the specification for this application the term "diameter" will be replaced by the term "radius" in all instances where it refers to dimensions d, d1, d2 and d3. Examiner Grosso also confirmed that, as a result of the amendment, this application is subject to an obviousness-type double patenting rejection over the claims of U.S. Patent No. 6,660,040. Applicant has filed a terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321 to overcome the double patenting rejection.